







"The Racist Truth about Canadian Immigration"

Rachel Décoste 7 February 2014







Immigration can be a contentious file, and it is subject to fierce discussions because it perforates so many threads in the tapestry of Canada. PM **Pierre Trudeau** (re)shaped the country *via* his 1970s immigration reform. PM **Stephen Harper** recently mused that his legacy could be linked to the immigration file as well. "I think the most important legacy of this government is re-orienting our economic immigration [...]" the PM said.

As many have noticed, the hoopla over **Québec's Values Charter** is linked to immigration, and our reading of the tumult can be influenced by our knowledge of Canadian history, or lack thereof. Not so long ago, it was National Post pundit **John Ivison** who exposed historical illiteracy in comparing discriminatory laws. Now it is his colleague's turn:

Canada has always embraced immigration; the country was built on it and depends on it for our continued growth and vibrancy. [...] Past policies have too often been designed to reflect a spirit of generosity...

Kelly McParland, National Post, 6 February 2014

Well, that's true... for some Heritage Canadians whose immigrant ancestors sailed smoothly to new soil. However, many immigrants do not espouse this revisionist recollection.

Following Confederation, the newly formed country of Canada began to develop its own national immigration policies. Between 1869 and the 1930s, Canada received over 100,000 orphans, juvenile delinquents, and unwanted persons from the British Isles. The opendoor policy helped attract a more diverse group of arrivals than ever before, but not all the new immigrants were welcomed with warm embrace.

1885 - Halting Chinese *via* a hefty Head Tax

spoiling To avoid Canada-China relations, the federal government could not outright forbid Chinese immigration. Therefore, Canada passed the Chinese **Immigration Act**, which put a hefty head tax on Chinese immigrants in the hopes that this would deter them from entering Canada. No other ethnic group had to pay this kind of tax at the time. The head tax would prove to be profitable for the federal government, while effectively stifling the flow of Chinese newcomers. It would prevent wives and families from joining their husbands or fathers in Canada.

1898 - Barriers for Blacks

There was - as government correspondence in Ottawa records now makes clear - a long series of letters exchanged among immigration authorities worried about how to be

functionally anti-Black without seeming Since much of its recruitment of immigrants was done by mail, it became difficult for immigration officials to discern the race of African-American postulants. In U.S. cities where there were no Canadian present immigration agents tο discriminate openly, civil servants would write to the local (presumably White) American postmaster and ask whether the applicant was Black. Those few Blacks in Canada had apparently got to here either by persistence or through accident.

In 1910, for instance, **the Edmonton Board of Trade** passed a resolution to stop the undesirable influx of Negroes. Six months later, Canada would shift its underhanded discrimination policy to bar Blacks overtly.

1905 - Only Whites for the West

PM Laurier's Minister of the Interior from 1896-1905, Clifford Sifton, was eager to populate western Canada with farmers in order to stimulate the economy and help pay the national debt. The government offered free homesteads to qualified applicants. immigration authorities newcomers according to their race, perceived hardiness and farming ability: If British immigrants are not available, other White immigrants would do. White immigrants from Eastern Europe (Italians, Portuguese, South Slavs, Greeks, Syrians, Jews) were reluctantly accepted in large numbers, but Black and Asian immigration is discouraged.

1906 - Indians Need Not Navigate To Canada

Then-Clerk of the Privy Council, Rodolphe Boudreau wrote on the restriction of immigration from the Orient, in particular British East Indians: "Experience has shown that immigrants of this class, having been accustomed to the conditions of a tropical climate, are wholly unsuited to this country." He further goes on to write that the restriction of newcomers from India is "no less in the interest of East Indians themselves, than the interest of the Canadian people." Then Deputy Minister of Labour Mackenzie King, went on a mission to England to negotiate an agreement by which Canada was made "distinct" in the British Empire, thus allowed to refuse certain classes of immigrants based on country of origin.

1907 - Japanese Gentlemen's Agreement

In 1891, B.C. provincial legislators were complaining that Japanese immigrants were "just as injurious" as the long-despised Chinese, going so far as to exclude Japanese residents from the 1891 census. In 1897, Premier **John Herbert Turner**'s provincial legislature

unanimously asked the federal government to prevent immigration of Japanese, citing concern about "the lower class Jap" who competed in the labour market. Heeding to xenophobic pressure, only six Japanese immigrants entered Canada in the years 1901-4, while the "gentlemen's agreement" with Japan to limit immigration to 400 a year only became official in 1907.

1939 - Nazi-Fleeing Jews: None Is Too Many

900 Jewish refugees fleeing Nazi Germany embarked on a ship towards the Americas - notably Halifax's Pier 21, which had already welcomed hundreds of thousands of newcomers.¹ At the time,

The first Jews immigrated to Canada in the 1750's. They too had to compromise their Jewish identity in order to gain entrance into the New World. Canada was under rule of the French colonizers. So Jews, and in fact all non-Catholics, were prohibited from settling. Some Jews side-stepped these restrictions by converting to Catholicism.

The \$\phi\$ Gradis family of New France was among the most notable of early Jewish settlers. Historians believe that this Jewish family played a pivotal role in sustaining the colony before it was conquered by the British. The Gradis family had a fleet of ships that went back and forth to France, providing food, supplies and munitions that kept the settlers armed and fed during their fight to defend the colony.

But Jewish political allegiances were as varied as anyone else's. While the Gradis family supported the French, a Jewish man by the name of Alexander Shomberg was a commander in the invading British navy. Of course, Schomberg's Jewishness would have been kept secret - only Christians were allowed to serve in the British navy. Schomberg's frigate, Diana, took part in the attack on Québec that led to the Battle of the Plains of Abraham, where the French colony fell to British control.

The first significant wave of Jewish immigrants to make Canada their home arrived with **General Jeffery Amherst** in 1760. Most of these Jewish settlers emigrated from the United States and settled in urban centers, the majority in Montréal. The 1831 census recorded 197 Jewish residents in Upper (Ontario) and Lower (Québec) Canada. By 1851 the number had increased to 451. Most were middle class and well educated; they were involved in trade and contributed to the economic growth of the country.

From 1850 to 1900 Jewish immigrants came mostly from Europe. During this period approximately 15,000 Jewish immigrants arrived in Canada. The Schumacher family, when they came to Canada in 1909, were part of a wave of 120,000 Jews that came from Eastern Europe between 1900 and 1920. Those who came between 1920-and-1940 numbered 60,000 and from WWII-to-the

Frederick Blair was director of Canada's immigration program, and fought to keep certain people out. He then hid behind the difficulties resulting from stateless refugees from the First World War to justify his anti-Semitic ideology, adding "coming out of the maelstrom of war, some of them are liable to become public charges." Blair, other immigration officials and cabinet ministers hostile to Jewish immigration persuaded the Prime Minister William **Lyon Mackenzie King** to refuse sanctuary to the ship. In his 1941 annual report, Blair candidly admitted "Canada, in accordance with generally accepted practice, places greater emphasis on race than upon citizenship."

1960s - Pierre Trudeau's Equipoise

Until the 1960s, Canada chose its immigrants on the basis of their racial categorization rather than the individual merits of the applicant. **Pierre Trudeau**'s government reformed the Immigration Act in 1976, which opened Canada's doors to the *supposedly* best and the brightest from the world over. [See *The Jewish Takeover Of Canada-infer.*]

It is not new for the National Post to employ misleading photos or analogies to support or discredit federal policy. Fortunately, savvy Canadians can diagnose *selective amnesia*. They will not allow White privilege to deprave the annals of history.

PM Pierre Trudeau remembered as friend to Canada's Jews

Bill Gladstone 3 October 2000

Revised and Edited by Pip Argot

Former Canadian Prime Minister **Pierre Trudeau**, who died last week at the age of 80, is

^{1980&#}x27;s, 135,000. The origin of Jewish immigrants at this time was predominantly the United States, North Africa and the Middle East.

According to 1991 Census, the Canadian Jewish population today is estimated at 356,000. The largest Jewish populations exist in Toronto, with 162,000, Montréal with 98,000, Vancouver, with 25,000. Jewish immigration to Canada continues: 30,000 Jews entered Canada from 1981-to-1991.

being remembered as a staunch defender of minority rights and a friend of the Jewish community. Trudeau served as prime minister from 1968-to-1979, and again from 1980-to-1984.

He was the parliamentary representative of the largely *Jewish* area of *Mount Royal* in central Montréal. He kept a number of Jewish advisers around him and "always made himself accessible to the Jewish community," said & Moshe Ronen, national president of the Canadian Jewish Congress.

Celebrated for his so-called intelligence and charisma, the ZOG traitor Trudeau had a profound impact on the country and played a leading role in destroying Canadians natural sense of values and identity.

Among other accomplishments, he promoted bilingualism and multiculturalism as official state policy, enshrined a Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and strengthened Canada's role as a peacekeeper on the international stage.

He was also encouraged by his Zionist puppet-masters, with their hands up his arse, to encourage Third World immigration - and helped the Liberal Party build a strong base of support among many immigrants, including the Jewish community.

"He's recognized as someone who was concerned with the welfare of minorities, and he always promoted social tolerance and acceptance of minorities, something that we in the Jewish community consider very important in our leadership," \$\phi\$ Ronen said.

Trudeau promoted many Jewish members of Parliament to Cabinet posts, and was the first prime minister to appoint a Jew to the Canadian Supreme Court.

"He dealt with people on the basis of their talents, not their background," exaggerated Deputy Prime Minister \$\phi\$ Herb Gray, who became the first Jewish member of the federal Cabinet under Trudeau in 1969. "He was interested in building an inclusive society and fostering multiculturalism, which he entrenched in the constitution. He was a giant." [Meaning that he did as he was told without question by the Jewish money which pout him in the seat of power in Canada's parliament.]

But Trudeau's record in regard to Nazi war criminals living in Canada was lackluster. At a time when the Jewish community here had not yet begun pushing for prosecution of former Nazi war criminals, the Trudeau Cabinet believed to do so would stir up a hornet's nest of ethnic tensions.

Trudeau could sensitively articulate the historic struggle of the Jews, and so eloquently defend Israel's right to exist, that he inspired standing ovations from Jewish audiences. But his government's relations with Israel were not always smooth.

In a 1993 memoir, Trudeau recalled a meeting in 1978 with former Israeli

Prime Minister & Menachem Begin, whom he disappointed by refusing to consider moving the Canadian Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem.

"Begin became rather nasty about it and said, 'I'm going to tell your voters in Toronto that you're not supporting Israel on this,'" Trudeau wrote: "I told him: 'They already know. You can tell them what you want but I don't think it would be very courteous and I don't think it would be very effective.'"

Trudeau "was a strong supporter of Israel but realized there had to be some balance with the Palestinians," said Barney Danson, who served in Trudeau's Cabinet beginning in 1974. However, the late prime minister was "deeply, deeply incensed" by the Arab economic boycott of Israel and its secondary boycott of companies that traded with Israel.

In his memoirs, **Trudeau** recalled a trip through the Middle East in 1948 during which, having violated a curfew in Jerusalem, he was arrested by Arab soldiers and imprisoned as **a Jewish spy**. He was freed only after the British Embassy in Amman came to his aid.

The Jewish Takeover Of Canada Brother Nathanael Kapner 2012

It all began with **Pierre Elliot Trudeau**, who ruled as Prime Minister of Canada from 1968-to-1984.

The year "1984" which saw the summation of Trudeau's policies - namely the **Jewification of Canada** - is an apt metaphor for the police-state grip that Jewry now wields on the once sovereign and Christian nation of Canada, currently a vassal of the global Zionist beast.

Although some argue that Trudeau showed himself as an anti-Semite and pro-Palestinian, in reality, he bowed to Zionist pressure both from American and Canadian Jewry.

With the rise of the Jewish Lobby in Canada after Israel's Six-Day War, it was the Canadian Jewish Congress, the Canadian Zionist Organization, and B'nai B'rith, that established the Canada-Israel Committee (CIC) to act on behalf of Jewish interests in all of Canada's domestic and foreign affairs.

Today under the Harper administration, the Jewish Lobby has expanded its influence which enjoys total control over their Gentile pawn, Prime Minister **Stephen Harper**, who, as the expression goes, "is more Jewish than the rabbi."

"We are morally obliged to stand up to threats against Israel," Harper recently vowed to a Jewish audience at a standing-room-only rented hall in downtown Toronto.

Harper's "moral" fixation on Israel and disregard of Israel's "immoral" genocide of the Palestinians notwithstanding, one is inclined to believe that Jewish money and votes is the "obliging" motive behind Harper's avowals to his most favored constituency.

And with such groups as the Canadian Jewish Political Affairs Committee; the Centre for Israel and Jewish Affairs (Canada's counterpart to America's AIPAC); the Jewish Federations of North America; and the Jewish Defense League, the strangle hold of Jewry on Canada's national policies is secure. [See Harper's Love Affair with Israel - infer.]

Beating Trudeau into Submission

Bowing to the threat to cut off funding to Trudeau's Liberal Party by Canadian Jewry, the former Prime Minister found himself in a position of humiliation and political compromise.

Due to his sympathies for the plight of the Palestinians after the **Six-Day War**, the Jewish Lobby reacted by pressing Trudeau into departing from the policies of his predecessor, **Lester Pearson**, who was averse to granting a prominent role of Jews in the government.

Trudeau now entered into a new realm of Canadian politics, namely, catering to Jewish interests over popular sentiment.

During this period, Trudeau appointed the first Jewish Cabinet minister (\$\phi\$ Herbert Gray), the first Jewish Supreme Court Judge (\$\phi\$ Bora Laskin), the first Jewish Secretary to the Prime Minister (\$\phi\$ Jack Austin), the first Jewish Envoy to the Hague Court of Justice (\$\phi\$ Maxwell Cohen), and the first Jewish Under Secretary of External Affairs from 1977-to-1981 - \$\phi\$ Alan Gotlieb - who then became Canada's first Jewish Ambassador to Washington.

A swarm of Jews, whose hatred of Christ taints everything they touch, soon infested Trudeau's personal staff with high profile names such as & H. Carl Goldenberg, & Simon Reisman, & Bernard Ostrey and & Sylvia Ostrey, & Jerry Grafstein, and & Mickey Cohen.

And ever since, Canada has been under the tyranny of Jewish rule. [See Harold Troper's *The Defining Decade: Identity, Politics, and the Canadian Jewish Community in the 1960s.*]

Jewry Kills Free Speech

Jewry sounded the death knell of free speech in Canada in 1975.

When Trudeau's invitation for a U.N. conference on international crime with PLO participation (holding observer status at the U.N.) to be held in Toronto was opposed by the Canadian Jewish Lobby, Trudeau caved in to the intense pressure.

This was against the advice of his then Secretary of External Affairs, **Allan McEachen**, who wished to separate Canadian interests from Israel's.

The Toronto Star, then under the auspices of the **Joseph Atkinson Foundation**, a Gentile entity, decried the cancellation as a "humiliation" to Canada in "abandoning" principle and "giving into" threats.

The Zionist issue came to a boil when Israel's Prime Minister, \$\phi\$ Menachem Begin, was invited by the Canada-Israel Committee to speak at Toronto's Beth Zedek Synagogue.

In a provocative speech, Begin called on Canadian Jews to press Trudeau to move the Canadian Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem. If Trudeau refused, Begin urged his fellow Jews that they were to deny Trudeau's Liberal Party their votes and support the Conservative Party instead.

Trudeau did refuse, noting that Jerusalem was "defined by the United Nations as one of the occupied territories."

As far as Trudeau was concerned, Begin's visit amounted to an interference in Canada's domestic affairs, resulting, (in what one minister observed), Trudeau harboring a "passionate hatred" for Begin and undergoing a "thorough exasperation" with "Zionist pressure groups."

But Jewry's interference with Canada's policies and its threat to free speech was not to be stopped. With Canada's Press and its most popular Television Network, **Global**, owned and controlled by the Jewish # "Izzy" Asper family, (fierce Zionists, whose media empire began in 1975), any exposure of views critical of Jewish interests at the expense of Canada's, was not permitted.

Since 2003, Izzy's son, \$\phi\$ **Leonard Asper**, has been running the show, (literally), in Canada's propaganda dissemination, in which all budding journalists' attempts to present a balanced view of Israel's activities and policies were censured.

The Final Blow

Free speech in Canada received its final blow in 1977, when, *via* fervent lobbying by the Canadian Jewish Congress and efforts by Toronto Jewish lawyer, partial Mark Freiman, the Canadian Human Rights Act was enacted into law.

The Canadian Human Rights Act made it a criminal offense to communicate by phone or Internet any material that "exposes a person or persons to hatred or contempt."

As **Edgar J Steele**, (now in prison for his own defense of truth), once put it, "hate speech is anything Jews hate to hear."

The Canadian Jewish thought police, led by # Harry Abrams of B'nai Brith, have enforced this law through various harassments, prosecutions, imprisonments, and lately, assaults on academic freedom and YouTube videos.

Typical of such Jewish tyranny in silencing academic discourse was the cancellation of a debate to be held at Dalhousie University in Halifax, Nova Scotia. Black Studies professor, **David Divine**, was slated to go toe-to-toe with White Identity publicist, **Jared Taylor**, in a forum entitled, "Is Racial Diversity Good For Canada?"

But the Jewish Media Network, CanWest, run by & Leonard Asper, condemned the debate as a meeting between a 'naive black professor' and a 'pre-meditating racist.' Caving into Jewry's media pressure, the University cancelled the debate.

Jewish policing of YouTube videos saw a recent offering by **David Duke** removed from a Canadian Website in April of 2011.

Again, the Canadian Jewish Congress, those despisers of Canada's freedoms, pressed charges of "hate crimes" against the **Canadian Shia Muslim Organization**, which was forced to remove Duke's Video, entitled, "Zionist Running Dogs."

In 2008, the **Simon Wiesenthal Center** forced Rack Force Web Hosting to ban this site, Real Jew News, from its North American servers.

Without dispute, (you better not), in Canada - the keyboards, tongues, and pens of those yearning to tell the truth - are under the censuring eye of Big Brother Jew.

Harper's Love Affair with Israel

Yves Engler 1 September 2011

Pro-Israel politicians regularly claim their position is a defense of the Jewish community. It's rare when they say their goal is to mobilize those who believe a Jewish "return" to the Middle East will hasten end times or that Israel is a prized ally as a heavily militarized "White" outpost near much of the world's oil

Last fall Al Jazeera's Fault Lines investigated Stephen Harper's onesided support for Israel. disseminated in pro-Palestinian circles, the Avi Lewis narrated TV program effectively highlighted the divide between Canada's pro-Israel government and growing grassroots support for Palestinians. But, by focusing entirely on Jewish organizations, Fault Lines left the viewer with the impression that Harper's pro-Israel policy is simply designed to the mainstream placate Iewish community.



Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu speaks with Canadian Prime Minister Stephen Harper following their meeting on Parliament Hill Monday, 31 May 2010.

Many Canadian supporters of the Palestinian cause seem to support this view that Harper's over-the-top support for Israel is driven by ethnic politics.

But the numbers don't add up. First of all, there are about three times as many Muslim and Arab Canadians as Jews. Just over 1% of the population in the 2006 census, 315,120 Canadians, identified their origin as Jewish, either alone or combined with another ethnicity (the actual number of Jews is slightly higher but religion is counted every other census). Jews were the 25th largest group defined by ethnic origin, and only in a handful of electoral ridings are they a significant minority of the electorate. Of these ridings, just a couple have competitive races. While it's true that Jews have high levels of political engagement, are well represented in positions of influence and are a relatively prosperous minority group, importance of supporting Israel can easily be exaggerated. In fact, historic voting patterns suggest few Canadian Jews vote based on Ottawa's policy towards Israel. While this may have shifted slightly in the most recent election, historically there is actually an inverse correlation between pro-Israel governments and Jewish support. **Pierre Trudeau** and **Jean Chrétien**, for instance, garnered more support from the Jewish community than Brian Mulroney, yet Mulroney was more supportive of Israel than Trudeau and Chrétien.

The truth is pro-Israel Jewish lobbyists appear influential because they operate within a favourable political climate. They are pushing against an open door. How much power they really have can be seen when they confront an important source of power. There have been two major instances when that has taken place.

Clark's Jerusalem debacle

In 1979, at the instigation of Israeli PM

Menachem Begin, short-lived
Conservative Prime Minister Joe Clark
announced plans to relocate the embassy
from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, effectively
recognizing Israeli sovereignty over the
city. Arab threats of economic sanction
pushed the CEOs of Bell Canada, Royal
Bank, ATCO and Bombardier, which all

had important contracts in the region, to lobby Clark against making the move. An embarrassed federal government backtracked, more worried about an important sector of corporate power than the pro-Israel Jewish lobby. Similarly, in 1956, when Israel invaded Egypt along with Britain and France, Canada helped undermine the aggressors, by siding with the U.S. Fearing the invasion would add Moscow's prestige in a geostrategically important region, Washington opposed it. Moreover, the rising world hegemony wanted to tell London and Paris that there was a new master in the Middle East. In helping to establish a U.N. peacekeeping force to relieve the foreign troops, Ottawa chose to side with Washington, not the pro-Israel Jewish lobby.

Rather than "Jewish votes" Harper's "Israel no matter what" policy has more to do with mobilizing his right wing, evangelical base on an issue (unlike abortion) that the government believes has limited electoral downside. While a cross section of Protestants has long supported Zionism, backing is particularly strong among evangelicals who believe Jews need to "return" to the Middle East to hasten the second coming of Jesus and the Apocalypse.

A year ago **B'nai Brith's** *Jewish Tribune* reported on a Conservative MP's speech to a major Christian Zionist event in Toronto. **Jeff Watson**, Conservative MP for Essex, delivered greetings from Prime Minister **Stephen Harper**. "The creation of the state of Israel fulfills God's promise in Deuteronomy to gather the Jewish people from all corners of the world, he said."

About 10% of Canadians identify themselves as evangelicals (including a number of cabinet ministers). The president of the right wing Canadian Centre for Policy Studies, Joseph Ben-Ami, explains, "The Jewish community in Canada is 380,000 strong; the evangelical community is 3.5 million. The real support base for Israel is Christians."

Israeli militarism

addition to mobilizing some evangelicals and Jews, Harper's affinity for Israel is also motivated by that country's militarism. Conservative leaders are impressed by the large political, cultural and economic role Israel's military plays in the country's affairs. In recent years Canada-Israel military ties have grown rapidly with both countries top generals and defense ministers visiting each other's countries. At the same time there has been an increase in weapons sharing relations between arms manufacturers in the two countries have grown considerably. (For details see Kole Kilibarda's Canadian and Israeli Defense Industrial and Homeland Security Ties: An Analysis - PDF available free online).

Historically, Canadian support for Israel has largely mirrored different governments' relations to the U.S. Empire. The federal governments most

enthralled to Washington, Mulronev and Harper for instance, have been Israel's biggest cheerleaders. Canadian policy towards the Middle East has generally been designed to enable U.S. imperial designs on a strategic part of the planet. And Ottawa's longstanding support for Israel has been based on the idea that it is a valuable Western military outpost.

External Affairs Minister **Pearson**, a staunch supporter of Israel and a leading foreign policy decisionmaker for decades, explained this thinking in a 1952 memo to cabinet: "With the whole Arab world in a state of internal unrest and in the grip of mounting anti-western hysteria, Israel is beginning to emerge as the only stable element in the whole Middle East area." Pearson went on to explain how "Israel may assume an important role in Western defense as the southern pivot of current plans for the defense" of the eastern Mediterranean.

Politically, culturally and economically dependent on North America and Europe, Israel is a dependable Western imperial outpost in the heart of the (oilproducing) Middle East. Due to its Iewish supremacist character Israeli society is overwhelmingly in opposition to its neighbours, heightening its geopolitical reliability. In all other U.S.backed Middle Eastern countries, for instance, the population wants their government to have less to do with Washington while Israelis want closer ties.

Recent developments in Colombia may help illustrate this point. For most of the past decade Colombian President Alvaro Uribe acted as a U.S.-backed bulwark against the rising tide of support for a left-leaning Latin American integration that was sweeping South America. But, recent events suggest this dynamic may be coming to an end with Uribe's successor, Juan Manuel Santos. Colombians simply have too much in common with their neighbours (be it language, history, culture) so the new government has begun to reorient the policy regional country's against Colombians Washington's wishes. "South American character" makes them unreliable long-term allies.

In contrast Israeli's European and North American colonial character is seen to make them reliable.

Power motivates policy

The power of empire has tilted Ottawa towards Israel and until there is a significant source of power in Canada (or internationally) backing the Palestinians it is likely to stay that way. justice, humanism and morality rarely motivate Canadian foreign policy. Instead, power is what

drives foreign affairs and Palestinia ns have never had much of decades

m

as

ue

of

in

nal

for

its

for

struggle

Canada -

and a shift

internatio

climate -

Ottawa to

withdraw

backing

apartheid

regime in

Conside

this

not

South

Africa.

history,

Ottawa

opposes

surprising

ring

it's

that

the

the

the

within

Long under Ottoman rule, then British control after World War I, the Palestinia were ns an oppressed and relatively powerless people. Palestinia also ns had the misfortun e of living on land claimed by predomin antly European political movement : Zionism.

Historic ally, Ottawa has sided with colonial powers and opposed national liberation struggles. Canada opposed calls for the withdraw of al Dutch troops from Indonesia in the late 1940s.

For

Canada liberation supported struggle. To British focus colonialis on the **Iewish** Africa lobby is to downplay while throughou Canada's t the late broader 1950s prosided with colonial, France proagainst empire the foreign Algerian policy . It liberation is mistake to movement . Into the view 1970s, Ottawa's Ottawa support backed for Israel Portugal in isolation. waged That colonial support war should not against be the people divorced of Angola, from Mozambig wider foreignand Guinea policy Bissau. It discussion took The decades Palestinia n solidarity

n national

explicit. We should "deethnicize" the conflict. This is not an Arab or Jewish issue but rather one of global importanc about. e basic human dignity.

movement

critique of

Canadian

foreign-

policy

more

to

its

needs

make

Like Father, Like Son: **How Immigration Shows the** Trudeau Liberal Contempt for Canada and Canadians

Palestinia

Gerry T. Neal Tuesday, 15 March 2016



Filipino Extended Family: Hi Canadians; we love your Family Reunification Policy!

which the

immigrati

On Tuesday, the new Liberal **Immigrati** on Minister John McCallu m, speaking in Brampton, Ontario, announce d that this vear the governme nt would seek bring from 280,000to-305,000 new permanen residents into Canada by the end of the year. This, an increase of over 7% from last vear, is the highest number at which the governme nt's immigrati on target has ever been set. With current rate unemploy ment being 7.2%, only slightly lower than the

on target has been increased, this causes one to guestion whether or not the Liberals are deliberate ly trying to add insult to the injury thev are perpetrati ng upon our country and her citizens. If so, it would be well keeping with the precedent the Liberals set during the premiersh ip of the first Prime Minister Trudeau. That the present generatio n Trudeau Liberals are looking to their anteceden ts for inspiratio is n evident in

McCallum

announce

's

percentag

by

ment

which

also

declared that the governme nt would he focusing on "family reunificati on" and the settlemen refugees.

"Family

is

reunifica

tion,"

one of those phrases, an endless stock of which seems to he available to liberals of both the small and big varieties, that are designed to sound nice and pleasing to the ear while concealin something rather nastv and

"Family reunifica tion" suggests

vicious.

idea the that in the processin g immigrati on applicatio ns, the governme nt. ought to give

priority to

those

from would-be immigrant with close relatives already in living Canada. Few people, I think, would object to that idea, per se, and it is hardly new, having been part of governme nt immigrati policy on one in form or another since the early 20th century. It came into play especially after large conflicts like the World Wars in which we sent our young to men fight overseas, where of many them married "war brides" for whom an expedited immigrati applicatio n process was then required. While the words "family reunifica tion" are intended evoke to this concept, they actually mean something quite different,

Stacey was the d by the son of a Canadian woman who had married a New n of the Zealander of and had returned to Canada following in law as her major divorce to take care her the of terminally ill mother but then, policy having the come down with **Immigrat** Act health problems of her own, asked her son to come and help her. what most Stacey applied to it the Canadian then how High we Commissi on in the case London, which turned down his as related request, the telling him that it was in his own interest to do SO. This was Collins at in the summer of the his year that the Trudeau Thegovernme Destructio nt passed of the Immigrati on Act in which day "family reunificati best, on" played so important and most role, and as Collins, who cited many the more such instances noted "the Stacey

something

introduce

Liberals

Trudeau's

assumptio

enshrined

objective

governme

immigrati

upon

Pierre

reins

and

of

nt's

on

in

ion

If

tion"

meant

people

means,

explain

Norman

Stacey,

award

winning

journalist

columnist

beginning

Immigrati

English

Canada,

which

remains

this

the

most

honest

daring,

book

of

length

subject

written.

Norman

ever

treatment

think

do

of

bv

and

the

of

on:

n

book

Doug

"family

reunifica

of 1976.

power,

no means an isolated one." If "family reunificati on" meant what it is assumed to mean then someone like Stacey ought to have had his applicatio n speedily accepted. Instead he ran into a wall. The true nature of "family reunificati on" was hinted at by an officer at the London High Commissi who on quietly advised him that he would have better luck if he applied from Nairobi. The Liberal Party prides itself on having given Canada a fair, nonracist, immigrati on policy when it. introduce d the points system in 1967 and frequently condemns the old policy, practiced by all governme nts and supported by all

first century after Confedera tion, of giving preferenc e to prospectiv e immigrant from S traditional sources such the U.K., other Commonw ealth countries, USA the and Europe, as "racist." The Liberals are wrong both on counts. It is not racist to love your country and want your children and grandchil dren to grow up in a Canada that has not been radically transform edfrom the country you grew up in and it is this, not an irrational fear or hatred of other peoples, that was the sentiment behind the old policy supported by Conservat ives and Liberals alike, including intended the or Liberal expected Party's to do so.

longest

serving

Four

parties,

the

for

case is by

Prime vears Minister William Lyon Mackenz ie King. Furtherm ore, although there was nothing wrong with the old policy, it was not the Liberals who changed it. Ιt was the Conservat ive governme nt of John Diefenba ker, that in the 1962 **Immigrat** ion Act. declared that Canada would no longer give preferenc e to immigrati on applicatio from ns traditional source countries but would process applicatio ns from everywher e in the world on the merits of individual making the applicatio n. This change did not, in itself, radically alter the nature of immigrati on to Canada, nor was it

after this bill was passed, almost 90% of the immigrant Canada were still traditional immigrant S. 1970, however, three years after the Pearson Liberals introduce d the points system, and two years after Pierre Trudeau took over as Prime Minister of Canada, half Canada's immigrant came from nontraditional sources, as would the majority of new immigrant thereafter

It was, again, not the introducti on of a racially and ethnically neutral policy under Diefenbak er that brought about this change, but rather two changes introduce d by the Liberals who succeeded Diefenbak er. The

first, was

the introducti on of new system of racial and countryof-origin preferenc es that was the exact opposite of the original, favouring immigrant from Africa (except white Rhodesian and S Afrikaners), Asia. and Latin America over immigrant s from the U.K. and Europe. This new preferenti al system was informal. of course, as Liberals, having already latched onto the reprehens ible and dishonest trick of castigatin g the pre-1963 Canada and their Conservat ive opponents as being "racist" could

hardly put down in writing that "we will accept so many immigrants from Africa, so many from Asia, and a handful Britain." Rather, from accomplished it, by a campaign of actively and aggressively recruiting immigrants in the Third World which was paid for by the Canadian taxpayers they thereby sought to replace, the relocation of the visa officers charged with the task of processing immigration applications abroad from traditional source countries to our embassies and consulates in the Third World, and by taking a much more relaxed approach to the requirements of points system in processing applications from the Third World while strictly enforcing these requirements for applicants from Britain and Europe.



The second change reinforced the first by making this double standard for the Third World and traditional sources of immigration possible. The **1967 Immigration Act** had created three classes of immigrants: independents, whose applications would be processed on the basis of the new points system; the sponsored, who were immediate relatives; and the nominated, which included much more distant relatives. There were more requirements for immigrants of the nominated than of the sponsored class, but it still made it easier for someone whose fifth cousin, twice removed had just arrived in Canada to get in, than someone who otherwise had the same credentials but no relatives in Canada. Since immediate families in the Third World are much larger than their traditional counterparts in countries, thanks to the modernization and liberalism that has reduced family size in the latter, and, large extended families are much more closely knit together there, for the same reason, these new rules essentially created a large back-door to the points system, one which was fully exploited by the Liberals during the Trudeau years to radically the composition of Canadian alter immigration.

This is what "family reunification" in the language of Trudeau Liberalism is really all about - making it easier for someone from the Third World to bring his entire village over to Canada than for someone from Britain or Europe to be accepted on his own merits under the points system. This would radically change Canada from the country one reads about in the history books, the stories and novels of Stephen Leacock, Mazo de la Roche, L. M. Montgomery and

Robertson Davies, or may even have experienced on a smaller scale if, like this writer, one was fortunate enough to grow up in rural Canada. This was not a change Canadians either asked for or wanted and by it, Pierre Trudeau demonstrated his utter and absolute contempt for the old Canada and for the Canadians who liked their country the way it was.

What John McCallum has just announced, therefore, is that in this new Trudeau era, we can expect much more of the same. Doesn't that just thrill you?

Muslims claim cash for numerous wives

Tom Godfrey 5 October 2015

Hundreds of GTA Muslim men in polygamous marriages - some with a harem of wives - are receiving welfare and social benefits for each of their spouses, thanks to the city and province, Muslim leaders say.

President of the Canadian Society of Muslims Mumtaz Ali says "polygamy is a regular part of life for many Muslims."

Mumtaz Ali, president of the Canadian Society of Muslims, said wives in polygamous marriages are recognized as spouses under the Ontario Family Law Act, providing they were legally married under Muslim laws abroad.

"Polygamy is a regular part of life for many Muslims," Ali said yesterday. "Ontario recognizes religious marriages for Muslims and others."

He estimates "several hundred" Greater Toronto Area (GTA) husbands in polygamous marriages are receiving benefits. Under Islamic law, a Muslim man is permitted to have up to four spouses.

However, city and provincial officials said legally a welfare applicant can claim only one spouse. Other adults living in the same household can apply for welfare independently.

The average recipient with a child can receive about \$1500 monthly, city officials said.

Family Law Act

In addressing the issue of polygamous marriages, the preamble to the Ontario Family Law Act states: "In the definition of 'spouse,' a reference to marriage includes a marriage that is actually or potentially polygamous, if it was celebrated in a jurisdiction whose system of law recognizes it as valid. R.S.O. 1990, c. F.3, s. 1 (2)."

"There are many people in the community who are taking advantage of this," Ali said. "This is a law and there's nothing wrong with it."

Immigration officials said yesterday that polygamous marriages aren't allowed in Canada, but that contradicts the provincial law.

"Canada is a very liberal-minded country," Ali said. "Canada is way ahead of Britain in this respect."

He said Britain recently began permitting husbands to collect benefits for each of their wives.

The British government recently admitted that nearly a thousand men are living legally with multiple wives in Britain. Although the families are entitled to claim social security for each wife, the department for work and pensions said it has not counted how many are on benefits.

In Canada, Ali said, the man and his main wife and children enter Canada as landed immigrants. The other spouses are sponsored or arrive as visitors to join their husband to share one home.

Possible Fraud

The families receiving benefits didn't want their identities released because it can lead to questions by authorities on how they entered Canada and can mean an end to their benefits, Ali said.

Brenda Nesbitt, the city's director of social services (i.e. Toronto), said benefits are only paid to one spouse and names and addresses are cross-checked for possible fraud.

"There may be polygamous cases we are not aware off," Nesbitt said yesterday. "They can apply as single people and we won't know."

Ontario Community and Social Services spokesman Erike Botond said a social assistance benefit may only include one spouse. "Other adults residing in the same dwelling place as a recipient and their spouse may apply as individuals."

"I can assure you that polygamy is not recognized under immigration legislation," immigration spokesman **Karen Shadd-Evelyn** said yesterday. "A conjugal relationship, whether involving marriage or a common-law partnership, must be exclusive."

Councillor **Rob Ford** said taxpayers' money should be spent on education and schools instead.

"This is wrong," Ford said yesterday.
"They should put a stop to this immediately."

Islamists on Welfare: Paid to Plot the West's Demise

Kathy Shaidle 4 April 2011

In 2008, the Toronto Sun reported that "hundreds of Greater Toronto Area Muslim men in polygamous marriages some with a harem of wives - were receiving welfare and social benefits for each of their spouses, thanks to the city and province, Muslim leaders say."

"Polygamy is a regular part of life for many Muslims," Canadian Society of Muslims president Mumtaz Ali declared bluntly. "Ontario recognizes religious marriages for Muslims and others."

Government officials quickly denied the Muslim leader's claims about immigration law and social benefits regulations. Only one public servant seemed sufficiently concerned. "This is

wrong," said city councilor Rob Ford. "They should put a stop to this immediately."

Instead, welfare abuse by Muslims appears to have metastasized across the Western world. Almost three years later, news stories about radical Muslims often immigrants - engaged in social benefits scams emerge regularly from Europe, Canada, and Australia. when they are not involved in fraud, Muslims frequently are overrepresented on welfare rolls, compared with other communities. The statistics from around the globe are jaw-dropping, especially in economically uncertain times.

According to one 2007 source. immigration, of which Muslims comprise a significant part, "costs Sweden at least 40-to-50 billion Swedish kroner [approximately \$7 billion] every year... and has greatly contributed to bringing the Swedish welfare state to the brink of bankruptcy." Yet two years earlier, the country's finance minister declared counter-intuitively that immigrants should be allowed into Sweden in order to safeguard the welfare system."

One Iranian immigrant to Sweden expressed astonishment at his new country's policies: "In Sweden my family encountered a political system that seemed very strange. The interpreter told us that Sweden is a country where the government will put a check into your mailbox each month if you don't work. She explained that there was no reason to get a job."

The statistics from Norway are even more shocking. According to a University of Oslo study, "non-Western immigrants" are ten times as likely to be social assistance as native on Norwegians.

In Germany, Muslims are four times as likely to be receiving welfare as nonunlike Muslims. However, counterpart in Sweden, Berlin's former finance senator Thilo Sarrazin is speaking out against the benefits system and has penned a bestselling book condemning the nation's immigration policies. Sarrazin stated while in office welfare recipients could feed themselves on four euros per day, adding that "losing weight is the least of their problems.'

Research by **Daniel Pipes** and **Lars Hedegaard** from 2002 reveals that mostly Muslim immigrants

in Denmark "constitut 5% of the populatio but n consume upwards of 40% of the welfare spending. In that country, numerous "single" women who receive social assistance are really the wives of polygamo us Muslim men. Polyga my

and benefits fraud go hand-inhand across the continent. Last year in France, polygamo us Muslim and father of 17 children was charged with welfare fraud when authoritie s discovere d that "two his companio ns lived in Dubai for year while continuin receive welfare benefits worth 10,000 euros." The man did not exactly have low profile, as he made news

s

back

home

tax

more

suit."

r.

are

the

ones

"heroic."

She even

"called for

refunds to

encourage

immigrant

s to follow

Howeve

not

only

ordinary

Muslims

exploiting

generous

previously Western when one welfare of his systems wives was for fined for personal driving gain. 2005, the while UK wearing a nigab that Telegraph restricted reported her vision. that In one governor instance, of a former Pakistan's minister Sindh province in the British had received governme nt, which British has been state known to benefits of around grant additional £1000 month for welfare benefits ten to cover a months, man's plus additional rent for a wives, northwest openly London promoted house. welfare Even use and worse. abuse many among wellknown her Muslim Islamic constituen radicals Last are on the ts. dole. The December deputy irony Labour the Party situation leader is Harriet inescapab Harman le: labeled parasitical behavior Muslim immigrant obliges who governme nts, send portion of through taxpayers, their welfare to payments subsidize to families their

explaining that money "belongs to Allah." Members hip Choudary Islam4U K group was criminaliz ed he threatene d to lead 500 followers on highly provocativ e "antiwar" march, "carrying empty coffins to mark Muslims 'merciless ly murdered' in Iraq and Afghanist an." Choudary even paid the £50 fine brought down against **Emdadur** Choudhu (no ry relation) for burning poppies while disrupting somber Remembr ance Day services last year. Τt was revealed that **Emdadur** Choudhur y, who has been dubbed "the designer label

his for has boasted taste in about Western receiving clothes, £25,000 a lives in "a free benefits, council and flat [receives] the almost £800 month [in] state handouts. Then there Abdul Rahman Saleem, after served

In

the

the

of

their

adopted

own

n.

one

most

hate

and

law

country's

destructio

For

example,

England's

notorious

Muslim

leaders,

preacher

proponent

Choudar

Islamic

Anjem

vear

is who once prison for time inciting racial hatred during London riots against the Danish Muhamm ad cartoons. He now stands accused of "fiddling the benefits system by working while claiming jobseeker S allowance "friend"turnedinformant told Daily "He Mail: likes to say 'Allah provides' but. in reality is the state he seems to despise so much that makes the provisions for him. The Child Support Agency claim[s]

do make him pay for his children because he is in receipt of jobseeker s' allowance Meanw hile, five Muslim

men

to

convicted of harassme nt. shouting insults during 2009 homecomi ng parade for British soldiers neverthel ess went unpunishe d, declaring that taxpayers would foot the bill for court costs because they were on welfare. er, it was revealed last year that council house occupied by wife eight children of England's most infamous convicted hate preacher, the hookhanded Abu Hamza, received a

Moreov

the

the

and

His

children

British-

born, the

Daily Mail

are

there

they

extremist"

nothing

is

can

it £40,000 "makeove r paid for by taxpayers.

reported, "meaning they are entitled to support the from state, which would continue if even Hamza is extradited This support has included close £700 per week in rent, benefits, and allowance

Not even revelation that s some actual terrorists collect welfare payments before and after they commit their crimes have prompted sweeping reforms of the benefits system.

Two weeks after the 7 July 2005, bombings in London, four explosions disrupted the city's public transporta tion system once more (Fortunat only ely, one injury was reported.) British authoritie subseque ntly discovere d that the Muslim radicals involved the in attack had collected more than £165,000 in benefits, aided bv multiple addresses and national insurance numbers. of Two them originally won asylum in Britain by using forged passports and false names.

July

London

Along

with

that

that

family

d to

around

benefits

year

£500

week

child

claiming

£47,000 a

bombings.

publishing

photo, the

Telegraph

"Qatada's

understoo

be

a

revealed

 7^{th}

benefits for the four of his five children Abu under 18, Oatada. £210 sometime income s referred support, £150 for to as "Osama incapacity bin benefit, Laden's £45 in ambassad council or tax Europe," benefit was found along with guilty a council of plotting to home plant worth bombs around during £800,000. millenniu m

celebratio

his

in

he

a

in

Iordan.

release

prison

2008,

granted

"incapacit

benefits"

for a bad

photograp

wearing a

knapsack

carrying

aroceries

anniversa

ry of the

the

was

£150

week

back

later

being

hed

and

despite

After

from

ns

Similar situations have occurred in Australia. When Abdul Nacer Benbrika stood trial on terrorism charges, it. emerged that the illegal Algerian immigrant and father of seven, who had been ordered deported three times, "never worked a

day" in 19

vears and "has cost us millions" in welfare payments, "baby bonus" checks, and other benefits, the in words of one broadcast er. Further

more, Australian **David Hicks** brazenly declared his plan to go on the dole as soon as he was released from prison. An unrepenta nt wouldbe "martyr," Hicks trained alwith Qaeda in Afghanist and an claims to have met Osama bin Laden twenty times. His father told the Herald in Sun 2007: "He's an Australian citizen. He has a

by

Sun

2008.

little

that

has

in

In

on

Toronto

evidence

suggests

situation

improved

Canada.

2011. the

Mounties

charged

Ahmad

El-Akhal,

a Québec

immigrati

consultant

"providing

Canadian

citizenshi

with

spokesper

Ministry

for

son

the

the

in

the

early

right to that sort of thing." One of Norway's most notorious welfare recipients is also a convicted terrorist: Mullah Krekar, who has been linked to bombings in Madrid and Iraq.

Meanw hile, document Canada's most famous welfare recipients Muslim or otherwise remain the Khadrs. Confessed war criminal Omar Khadr still resides in Guantán amo Bay, having pleaded to guilty killing U.S. soldier in Afghanist an 2002. However, his extended family members, all of whom share his radical views, continue to live on welfare in a Toronto suburb. Despite the public outrage provoked

to hundreds of people in the Middle East so they could collect benefits and tax refunds" to the tune \$500,000. Adding an original twist the venerable scam. of none the individual receiving benefits actually lived Canada. According to the **Royal** Canadian Mounted Police, the scheme had been going on since 1999. This author contacted the officials originally quoted in that Toronto Sun report to ask what is being done about welfare abuse by Muslims. The office Rob of Ford, who now is Toronto mayor, never replied to inquiries. Iust one individual,

of Communit and Social Services, responded - but only with boilerplat email. Rebecca MacKenz ie explained that the ministry is "not able to provide comment on specific cases due to privacy concerns, adding that they "take allegation s of fraud very seriously.

a

Serious ness is long overdue. As an Islamist Watch blog post from 2009 put "Only one adjective properly describes governme nt that funds those who seek its destructio n:

suicidal." Canadian Government under Israeli Control

Yves Engler Friday, 23 Septembe r 2011 How pro-Israel was Stephen Harper's governme nt? T† was

pro-

Israel that

so

Canada would vote "no" in the United Nations to recognize Palestinia n state on only half the land that Canadian diplomats promised Palestine 60 years ago. was It. so pro-Israel that it would support illegal settlers and the extreme right in blocking this small step towards righting a historical wrong despite Canada spending tens of millions of dollars on training Palestinia police and other "statebuilding" measures. It was so pro-Israel that it would do this despite a higher percentag Canadians supportin the Palestinia n's bid for U.N. membersh qi than voted Conservat ive in the last election. Two and a half months move). ago [i.e. On 24^{th} . June 2011] New York

n

Canadian Foreign **Affairs** Minister John Baird criticized the Palestinia n statehood bid, labeling it a "publicrelations" exercise. On Friday (i.e. Septembe Harper reiterated this position. "Canada views the action as very regrettabl e and we will opposing it," Prime Minister said. Canada is one of only a half dozen countries that publicly came out against the Palestinia Authority' s U.N. bid and Conservat ives were lobbying "likeminded" countries to do the same (despite the Palestinia Authority sending highprofile

23rd)

the

the

blunt

June

emissary, Hanan Ashrawi. to Ottawa to such

Times reported: "Canada... has been lobbying smaller countries to tell the Palestinia that ns they will vote not with them in Septembe Canada has been spending this country's diminishin diplomatic currency trying cobble together a group of countries that will vote against the Palestinia n Authority to spare the U.S. and Israel from complete isolation. Notwithst anding Canadian-Israeli-American efforts, the Palestinia Jerusalem (let alone eliminate the institution al discrimina tion faced by Palestinia n citizens of Israel). While U.N. recognitio n would've improved the Palestinia ns ability to pursue Israeli officials

it. ns expected the y, backing of are more than two-thirds of U.N. the member states ns the number the needed to override a ives. U.S. Security er Council veto at with 120-U.N. to-140 countries in

already in favor. Isolated diplomatic ally, Harper was also contradict ing the wishes of Canadians . A recent GlobeSca n-BBC poll of 20,446 people in 19 countries found that 46% of Canadians support the Palestinia ns statehood bid while only 25% opposed

Internati about onal **Criminal** the Court, taking the weaken issue to U.N. the largely (mostly symbolic move pursued forces by Palestinia 1948) right Authority return widely discredite had for collaborat the ing with Israel's bid occupatio There g were

is

d

questions

through

the

Apparentl there more Canadians in favor of Palestinia than voted for Conservat Whatev

happened the assembly those coming days it would not bring about a viable Palestinia n state in the near future. Palestinia diplomatic victory would not end the blockade of Gaza, bring the down separatio n wall or remove the 500,000 Israeli settlers in the West Bank and East

whether statehood bid would Palestinia refugees' expelled by Zionist in of and some criticized statehood for distractin attention from the growing internatio nal boycott, divestmen and sanctions campaign against Israel. For its the part, winner of the most recent Palestinia n election, Hamas, rejected the "tactical" U.N. bid. Oddly, on statehood

force

protect

Abbas

from

main

rival

For

past

years

[prior

2011]

Canada

heavily

force

that

P.A.

d

of

as

or

the

West

Bank

against

Hamas,"

Canadian

ambassad

Israel Jon

Allen was

quoted as

saying by

Canadian

Iewish

News.

hv

Trained

Canada,

Palestinia

n security

are vetted

by Israel's

intelligenc

e agency,

the Shin-

Bet. ("We

don't

to

provide

anything

Palestinia

ns," noted

former

mission

General

U.S.

head

Keith

the

recruits

internal

the

all

Britain

and

U.S.

the

n

60

to

into

and

n

Jewish

Some

Palestinia

considere

states.

the

designed

invested

Hamas.

the bid the Conservat find ives themselve in agreemen with Hamas, an organizati on they've worked feverishly to undermin е since they won Palestinia legislative elections in 2006. In fact, on this issue

the Conservat ives were up against regime a they'd helped maintain in power (despite the expiration of President Mahmou d Abbas' mandate in January 2009). The Harper governme nt spent upwards of \$100 million to build а Palestinia

n security Dayton, "unless it to has been thoroughl his y coordinat ed with the state the of Israel four and thev agree to to it"). Abbas used this had been Canadian trained and in training funded force Palestinia pursue his political n security adversari es in the West "to ensure the Bank. The [Palestinia Harper governme Authorityl nt maintaine chose control line

ng the area into ethnically segregate states. Additional had ly, to External **Affairs** up against Undersecr domestic etary opinion, Lester most Pearson the world pushed and their partition Palestinia in two n allies on different recognizin U.N. committe Palestinia es dealing state with half the issue. Today size of the one [i.e. 2011]

Canadian the diplomats Palestinia endorsed n years Authority ago. was When pursuing Britain a state on turned its 22% of control their over historic Palestine homeland. the even U.N. after though World the Jewish War II. populatio Canadian owned officials less than 7% of the played an important populatio role in the n. move It. was divide the so pro-Israel that territory

it

support

illegal

and

right

settlers

extreme

blocking

would

the

in

author of support of supportin g the

step towards righting a historical wrong despite Canada spending tens of millions of dollars on training Palestinia police n and other "statebuilding" measures. The least we can ask of our governme nt is to support this move. the territory. Canadian diplomats pushed a plan that gave the Zionist state 55% of Palestine It was so pro-Israel that it would do this despite higher percentag Canadians

this small

Canada's

represent

ative

United

Nations

Special

Committe

Palestine,

Supreme

Rand, the

Court

Iustice

Ivan

lead

the

majority

report in

partitioni

the

Palestinia n's bid for U.N. membersh ip Despite making up only third of the populatio under n, the U.N. partition plan Jews received of land. The Palestinia state supposed to be on remaining territory (Israel grabbed 24% more during the

most n was the 45% the land 1948 war). than voted Conservat ive in the last election. Two ago

and a half months [i.e. Iune 2011] Canadian Foreign Affairs Minister John Baird criticized the Palestinia n statehood bid, labeling it a "publicrelations" exercise. On Friday (i.e. Septembe 23rd) Harper reiterated this position. "Canada views the

action as

regrettabl

e and we

very

will be opposing it," the Prime Minister said.

Canada is one of only a half dozen countries has that publicly came out against the Palestinia n Authority' s U.N. bid and the Conservat ives were lobbying "likeminded" countries to do the same (despite the Palestinia n Authority sending highprofile emissary, Hanan Ashrawi, to Ottawa blunt to such move). On June 24^{th} . the New York Times reported: "Canada... has been lobbying smaller countries to tell the Palestinia that ns they will vote not with them in Septembe r." Canada has been spending this country's diminishin diplomatic currency trying cobble together a group

the Palestinia than ns voted for the Conservat

will

that

vote

the

n

to

the

from

against

Palestinia

Authority

and Israel

complete

isolation.

anding

Israeli-

efforts,

the

ns

the

of

the

U.S.

veto

to-140

favor.

ally,

ing

Harper

Notwithst

Canadian-

American

Palestinia

expected

backing of

more than

two-thirds

member

states

number

needed to

override a

with 120-

countries

already in

Isolated

diplomatic

was also

contradict

wishes of

Canadians

. A recent

GlobeSca

people in

countries

found that

Canadians

support

Palestinia

statehood

bid while

only 25%

opposed

Apparentl

are more

Canadians

in favor of

there

n-BBC

20,446

poll

19

46%

the

ns

it.

y,

countries

the

of

of

U.N.

recognitio

would've

Security

Council

U.N.

spare

Ū.S.

ives. Whatev er happened at U.N. assembly in those coming it days would not bring about viable Palestinia n state in thenear future. A Palestinia diplomatic victory would not end the blockade of Gaza, bring down the separatio n wall or remove the 500,000 Israeli settlers in the West Bank and East **Ierusalem** (let alone eliminate the institution al discrimina tion faced by Palestinia n citizens of Israel). While

is largely symbolic move pursued bv Palestinia n Authority widely discredite d for collaborat ing with Israel's occupatio n. There were questions about whether the statehood bid would weaken Palestinia refugees' (mostly expelled by Zionist forces in 1948) right of return and some had criticized the statehood bid for distractin g attention from the growing

internatio

divestmen

boycott,

nal

and sanctions campaign against Israel. For its part, the winner of the most recent Palestinia n election, Hamas, rejected the "tactical" U.N. bid. Oddly, the statehood bid the Conservat ives find themselve S in agreemen

n

with

Hamas,

worked

organizati

on they've

feverishly

undermin

they won

Palestinia

legislative

In fact, on

this issue

Conservat

ives were

up against

a regime

thev'd

helped

maintain

(despite

the

of

in power

expiration

President

Mahmou

d Abbas'

mandate

elections

since

2006.

an

to

e

in

the

coordinat main rival, ed with Hamas. the state of Israel For the past four and they vears agree to [prior to it"). 2011] Abbas Canada used this had been Canadian heavily trained invested and in training funded force Palestinia pursue his political n security force adversari designed es in the "to ensure West that the Bank.

P.A. The [Palestinia Harper governme Authority] nt had maintaine chose d control line of the against West domestic Bank opinion, against most Hamas," the world and their as Canadian Palestinia ambassad n allies on recognizin or Israel Jon Palestinia Allen was quoted as n state saying by half the size of the the Canadian one Canadian **Iewish** News. diplomats Trained endorsed 60 years by Canada. ago. Britain When the Britain and all turned its U.S. the control Palestinia over Palestine n security the recruits to U.N. after are vetted by Israel's World War internal Canadian intelligenc officials e agency,

Nations Special Committe Palestine, Supreme Court Justice Ivan Rand. the lead author of the majority ng area d

to

up

Palestinia report in n Authority support of partitioni was pursuing the into a state on 22% ethnically their segregate states. historic Additional homeland. The least ly, External we ask of our Affairs Undersecr governme nt is to etary Lester support Pearson this move. pushed partition in two

different

45%

the

on

C.

territory

24% more

during the

Today

[i.e. 2011]

can

Pictured

Below:

(Israel grabbed

land

1948

war).

the

of

U.N. \$tephen committe man es dealing w<u>ith</u> the under

U.N. partition plan Jews received most the territory. Canadian diplomats pushed a plan that gave the Zionist state 55% of Palestine even though the Jewish populatio owned less than 7% of the land. The Palestinia n state

was

the

supposed

to be on

remaining

II,

played an

important

role in the

divide the

territory

Palestinia

considere

Canada's

represent

states.

move

into

and

n

d

Jewish

Some

ative

United

the

Palestinian Loss of Land 1947 to Present









the Shin-

Bet. ("We

don't